

Monte Alto Limited 2020 Grain Sorghum Performance Trial

Brand	Hybrid	Days to 50% Flower	Plant Height (in)	Head Ex (in)	Lodging (%)	Moisture (%)	Test Weight (lbs/bu)	Yield * (lbs/acre)
DEKALB	DKS 44-07	66	39	1	0	14.9	60.1	4,127
Integra	G3665	66	40	2	0	13.8	57.3	4,016
DEKALB	DKS 45-60	65	42	2	0	14.6	61.1	4,006
Golden Acres	3020B	66	39	1	0	14.4	58.9	3,761
DEKALB	DKS 46-60	67	40	2	0	14.8	59.2	3,726
Integra	G3711	68	41	1	0	14.8	60.7	3,623
Golden Acres	4880R	68	40	1	0	14.8	60.4	3,614
DEKALB	DKS 54-07	67	40	1	0	14.8	59.5	3,610
Dyna-Gro	M69GB38	66	42	3	0	14.7	59.4	3,535
Dyna-Gro	M72GB71	68	41	1	0	14.8	60.0	3,453
Pioneer	83G19	65	41	2	0	14.7	57.6	3,432
Dyna-Gro	M71GR91	69	41	1	0	15.3	59.6	3,428
Gayland Ward	18036	67	48	3	0	15.1	58.5	3,365
Integra	G3630	65	41	1	0	13.9	54.4	3,316
Dyna-Gro	M69GR88	66	39	2	0	14.3	54.8	3,283
Alta Seeds	ADV G2275	68	37	2	0	16.0	58.1	3,179
Dyna-Gro	M62GB77	64	41	3	0	14.5	59.3	3,118
Dyna-Gro	M60GB31	66	40	2	0	14.2	56.8	3,095
DEKALB	DKS 36-07	64	40	3	0	13.6	55.1	2,962
Integra	G3620	64	42	3	0	14.0	57.9	2,898
Gayland Ward	18057	64	42	3	0	14.8	54.4	2,867

*Yields highlighted in yellow are not significantly different (L.S.D., p=0.05) from the top ranked hybrid.

Monte Alto Limited 2020 Grain Sorghum Performance Trial

Brand	Hybrid	Days to 50% Flower	Plant Height (in)	Head Ex (in)	Lodging (%)	Moisture (%)	Test Weight (lbs/bu)	Yield * (lbs/acre)
Texas A&M AgriLife Research	ATx378xRTx430	64	44	2	0	13.6	52.5	2,725
Dyna-Gro	M74GB17	69	39	1	0	14.8	57.3	2,661
Gayland Ward	19016	69	44	2	0	13.9	55.9	2,635
Texas A&M AgriLife Research	ATx399xRTx430	64	38	2	0	12.9	52.0	2,612
Gayland Ward	19017	69	43	2	0	14.9	54.4	1,893
Texas A&M AgriLife Research	ATx631xRTx436	68	44	1	0	14.4	57.2	1,887

*Yields highlighted in yellow are not significantly different (L.S.D., p=0.05) from the top ranked hybrid.



Monte Alto Limited 2020 Grain Sorghum Performance Trial



Brand	Hybrid	Days to 50% Flower	Plant Height (in)	Head Ex (in)	Lodging (%)	Moisture (%)	Test Weight (lbs/bu)	Yield * (lbs/acre)
Agronomic information		Mean	66	41	2	0.0	57.5	3,216
Plant Date	2/24/2020	C.V. %	1.6	3.8	26.9	2.6	1.7	13.9
Harvest Date	6/24/2020	P>f (hybrid)	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000
Irrigated	Yes	L.S.D.	1.4	2.2		0.5	1.4	627.7
Row Spacing (in)	30	Trial Notes						
Number of Rows	2	*Test was pre-watered in early February						
Seeds per Acre	55,000	<p>Cooperator: Texas AgriScience</p> <p>Four replications of each hybrid are planted in a randomized block design. Model : yield = hybrid blk. SAS 9.4 was used for statistical analysis. LSD provided when hybrid significant at p < 0.05. Yields highlighted in yellow are not statistically different from the top ranked hybrid. Plots were planted using a SRES Advanced planter with Monosem units. Plots were harvested with a JD 3300 plot combine fitted with a Harvest Master GrainGage System. Precipitation data was recorded from January 1 through the harvest date. For additional information contact: Dr. Ronnie Schnell / Katrina Horn ronschnell@tamu.edu / khorn@tamu.edu 979-845-2935 / 979-845-8505</p>						
Precipitation (in)	7.41	<p>* Mehlich 3 by ICP, soiltesting.tamu.edu ** Samples collected at planting, some locations may have applied fertilizer</p>						
Irrigation (in)		Fertilizer Applied		Soil Analysis Report**				
Herbicide	1.66 pt/ac Dual + 1.5 lb/ac Atrazine at planting	N (lb/ac)	97	NO3-N (ppm)		pH		
Soil Type	Clay loam	P2O5 (lb/ac)	56	P (ppm)*		Conductivity (umho/cm)		
Tillage	Conventional	K2O (lb/ac)	0	K (ppm)*		Ca (ppm)*		
Previous Crop	Cotton	S (lb/ac)		S (ppm)*		Mg (ppm)*		
		Zn (lb/ac)				Na (ppm)*		

*Yields highlighted in yellow are not significantly different (L.S.D., p=0.05) from the top ranked hybrid.